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## Overview: Ongoing research

## AccliPhot

## Photosynthetic Acclimation

- Understand the regulation of photosynthesis
- Nonphotochemical quenching, state transitions


## Designing Starch ERA-CAPS


(Ebenhöh et al, 2011; Ebenhöh et al, 2014; Matuszyńska et al, 2015)

## 8 <br> BioSC Algal Fertilizers



- Understand phosphate uptake and storage metabolism in plants and algae
- Use algae to extract P from wastewater and apply as fertilizer to soil

14 CEPLAS
Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences

## Secondary metabolism

- Understand what controls the diversity of secondary metabolite structures
- Glucosinolates
- Fatty acids / designer oils


## Starch - half the caloric uptake of humanity



## Why starch?



Density: $1.54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$


The structure of starch allows for an extremely high energy storage density

## Alternatives

## energy content (kJ/g)

Carbohydrates ..... 17
Lipids ..... 38
Proteins ..... 17
Alcohol ..... 30

Possible advantages of starch

- low osmolarity
- large size
- high density

We (animals and fungi) predominantly use glycogen

big molecule (up to 10 MDa )
still small compared to starch


## Alternatives

energy content (kJ/g)

| Carbohydrates | 17 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lipids | 38 |
| Proteins | 17 |
| Alcohol | 30 |

Possible advantages of starch

- low osmolarity
- large size
- high density



## The structure of a starch granule

| Amylose | Amylopectin |
| :---: | :--- |
| (MW 32,000-113,000) | (MW 107-10 $)$ |




## Wouldn't it be great...

...if we could design starch with desired properties in vivo?

But how do all these factors actually play together?


## Wouldn't it be great...

...if we could design starch with desired properties in vivo?

But how do all these factors actually play together?


## A classical physics problem


collective behaviour

- pressure
- temperature


## microscopic


(from: Radchuk et al, 2009)


## DesignStarch



Rob Field, Michael Rugen (JIC Norwich)


## Starch metabolism: ingredients

A unique molecule

Ordered part As a 2D tree

Double helices


Genealogy of the tree (mother-daugther connections)

## Starch metabolism: ingredients

The main reactions

Elongation $\alpha-1,4 \longrightarrow \alpha-1,4(+1)$
Branching (cut \& re-branch) $\alpha-1,4 \longrightarrow \alpha-1,6$

Debranching $\alpha-1,6 \longrightarrow \emptyset$

Double helix formation


elongation

## Starch metabolism bottom-up



## Disproportionating enzymes (D-enzymes)

## DPE1

EC: 2.4.1.25
but not only!


DPE1 produces a set of glucans of different length in in vitro assays.
(Takaha et al., JBC 1993)

## Disproportionating enzymes (D-enzymes)

DPE1
EC: 2.4.1.25
but not only!

(Takaha et al., JBC 1993)

DPE1 produces a set of glucans of different length in in vitro assays.

Equilibrium distribution depends on initial conditions!

$$
K_{e q} ? ? ?
$$

## Positional Isomers



Different binding modes of the donor substrate exists

1,2 or 3 glucose residues can be transferred
$\square$ The general reaction equation is $G_{n}+G_{m} \longleftrightarrow G_{n-q}+G_{m+q}$ with $q=1,2,3$

## Positional Isomers



Different binding modes of the donor substrate exists

1,2 or 3 glucose residues can be transferred
$\square$ The general reaction equation is $G_{n}+G_{m} \longleftrightarrow G_{n-q}+G_{m+q}$ with $q=1,2,3$

For such a reaction, what is the meaning of $K_{M} ? ? ?$

## Disproportionating enzymes (D-enzymes)

## DPE1

EC: 2.4.1.25

Disproportionating Enzyme randomises DPs

A

$$
D P_{\text {ini }}=4 \quad D P=1 \ldots 7
$$


transfers glucosyl residues from one glucan to another: $G_{n}+G_{m} \longleftrightarrow G_{n-q}+G_{m+q}$ reaction must proceed towards a smaller Gibbs free energy : $\quad \Delta G=\Delta H-T \Delta S<0$ energy neutral (enthalpy of $\alpha-1,4$-bond hydrolysis independent on position): $\Delta H=0$ (Goldberg et al, 1992)


DPE1 maximises the entropy of the polydisperse reactant mixture

## Polydisperse mixtures as statistical ensembles

$X_{i}$ : molar fraction of glucans with length $i$ corresponds to occupation number of state $i$

The distribution $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ fully characterises the polydisperse reactant mixture
The entropy of the statistical ensemble is $S=-\sum x_{k} \ln x_{k}$

Equilibrium is determined by maximal entropy:

$$
S=-\sum x_{k} \ln x_{k} \rightarrow \max !
$$

Maximum entropy principle under constraint that \#bonds and \#molecules is conserved!
conservation of \#molecules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum x_{k}=1 \\
& \sum k \cdot x_{k}=b
\end{aligned}
$$

conservation of \#bonds: $\quad \sum k \cdot x_{k}=b$

## Entropic approach

Solution using Lagrangian multipliers: Necessary conditions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{k}}=0 \text { with } L\left(x_{k} ; \alpha, \beta\right)=\sum_{k} x_{k} \ln \left(x_{k}\right)+\alpha\left(\sum_{k} x_{k}-1\right)+\beta\left(\sum_{k} k \cdot x_{k}-b\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \ln \left(x_{k}\right)+1+\alpha+k \beta=0 \text { for all } k
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Entropic approach

Solution using Lagrangian multipliers: Necessary conditions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{k}}=0 \text { with } L\left(x_{k} ; \alpha, \beta\right)=\sum_{k} x_{k} \ln \left(x_{k}\right)+\alpha\left(\sum_{k} x_{k}-1\right)+\beta\left|\sum_{k} k \cdot x_{k}-b\right| \\
& \Leftrightarrow \ln \left(x_{k}\right)+1+\alpha+k \beta=0 \text { for all } k
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x_{k}=\frac{1}{Z} e^{-k \beta} \text { with } Z=\sum_{k} e^{-k \beta}
$$

Analogy to statistical physics! $\quad$ There, $\left.\beta=\frac{1}{k_{B} \cdot T} \right\rvert\,$

Calculation of $\beta$ : $\quad-\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta}=b \Leftrightarrow \beta=\ln \frac{b+1}{b}$

Maximal entropy in equilibrium: $S_{\text {max }}=(b+1) \ln (b+1)-b \ln b$

## Entropic approach

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad S=-\sum x_{k} \ln x_{k} \rightarrow \max ! \\
& \text { conservation of \#molecules: } \sum x_{k}=1 \\
& \text { conservation of \#bonds: } \sum k \cdot x_{k}=\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{ini}}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

implies

$$
x_{i}=\frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E_{i}}, \beta=\ln \frac{\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{ini}}}{\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{ini}}-1}
$$

predicts


An instance of the
$2^{\text {nd }}$ law of TD!

## DPE1 is entropy driven

## Experiments with Martin Steup, University of Potsdam

 method: capillary electrophoresis
$\beta$ is a generalisation of the equilibrium constant for polydisperse mixtures
(Kartal et al, 2011, Mol Syst Biol)

The dynamics of DPE1

maltose is formed late

Two time scales!

## The dynamics of DPE1



Two time scales!
(binding of G2 unlikely)
The simulations used 3 parameters:

- maximal turnover
- affinity for positional isomer 1
- affinities for positional isomers 2 and 3

A


B


This system allows to follow the entropy experimentally!
"true" equilibrium
(calculated as previously)
"quasi" equilibrium (calculated with the same approach but omitting maltose from the statistical ensemble)

A


B


This system allows to follow the entropy experimentally!
"true" equilibrium
(calculated as previously)
"quasi" equilibrium (calculated with the same approach but omitting maltose from the statistical ensemble)

## Theory is also confirmed by DPE2

## DPE2 vs DPE1

- transfers single glucosyl residues
- G2 only used as donor
- G3 only used as acceptor

Generic reaction catalysed:

$$
G_{n}+G_{1} \longleftrightarrow G_{n-1}+G_{2}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad x_{i}=\frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \text { for } i \geqslant 3 \quad \text { where } \beta \text { fulfils } \quad b-2(1-m)=m \cdot \frac{e^{-\beta}}{1+e^{-\beta}}+(1-m) \cdot \frac{e^{-\beta}}{1-e^{-\beta}}
$$

## Theory is also confirmed by DPE2

## DPE2 vs DPE1

- transfers single glucosyl residues
- G2 only used as donor
- G3 only used as acceptor

Generic reaction catalysed:

$$
G_{n}+G_{1} \longleftrightarrow G_{n-1}+G_{2}
$$

## Entropic principle:

$S=-\sum_{k} x_{k} \ln x_{k} \rightarrow$ max
with one additional side constraint

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}=m=\text { const. }\left(\text { and } \sum x_{k}=1 ; \sum k \cdot x_{k}=b\right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad x_{i}=\frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \text { for } i \geqslant 3 \quad \text { where } \beta \text { fulfils } \quad b-2(1-m)=m \cdot \frac{e^{-\beta}}{1+e^{-\beta}}+(1-m) \cdot \frac{e^{-\beta}}{1-e^{-\beta}}
$$



## Generalisation to non-zero enthalpy changes

Phosphorylase (cPho):

$$
P_{i}+G_{n} \longleftrightarrow G 1 P+G_{n-1}
$$

Generalisation by including energetic and entropic contributions:

$$
G=G^{f}-T \cdot S_{m i x} \rightarrow \min !
$$

Gibbs energy of formation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mixing entropy: } \\
& S_{\text {mix }}=-R \sum x_{k} \ln x_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

A



B



Prediction: Similar pattern as for DPE2
Experimentally confirmed.
(Kartal et al, Supp to MSB 2011; Ebenhöh et al, Proc $5^{\text {th }}$ ESCEC 2013)

## An entropy-driven buffer



## What is the role of the SHG pool?

## CHLOROPLAST



## What is the role of the SHG pool?

Comparison with two alternatives

## CHLOROPLAST



## Polydisperse SHG pools increases robustness in vivo



## Challenge: explain observations with bottom-up approach




Goal: reproduce emergent macroscopic properties with microscopic model


## Top-down: <br> expressing starch-like polymers in yeast ERA-CAPS

## STARCH IN YEAST?



Barbara Pfister

- Delete all 7 glycogen biosynthesis genes
- Progressively add Arabidopsis genes
- All lines express AGPase and both BE isoforms
- Variable combinations of starch synthases with the presence/absence of ISA


lodine-stained galactose plate


## Conclusion \& Outlook:

- We are only beginning to understand...
- We get something that looks like starch, but is not!
- How does this actually work?
- How can we control the properties of the insoluble glucans?


## Where else do find entropic enzymes?

...for example

Maltosyltransferases in Streptomyces
"Acceptor specificity" can be explained by entropic principles

A




## Where else do find entropic enzymes?

...or even in central metabolism?

Transketolase? $K_{n}+A_{m} \Leftrightarrow A_{n-2}+K_{m+2}$
Why only $n=5,6,7$ und $m=3,4,5$ ? Why should there be no octuloses / nonuloses...?
 ,

irreversible enzyme
http://metamap.blogspot.de/2013/01/blog-post.html

## Octulose-8P oscillates in respiratory cycle in yeast



O8P oscillations in phase with other PPP intermediates


## Calvin cycle energetics

TABLE IV
free energy changes of the pentose phosphate cycles in C. pyrenoidosa

$\overline{\text { Reaction }} \quad \underset{$| $\Delta G^{\prime}$ |
| :--- |
| $(\text { kcal })$ |\(}{\substack{\Delta G^{s} <br>

(kcal)}}\)

Reductive cycle
(A) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+$ Ribul-1,5- $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2$ 3-P-glycerate ${ }^{3-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$

| $-8.4$ | $-9.8$ | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +4.3 | -1. 6 | R |
| - I .8 | -0.2 |  |
| $-5.2$ | -0.4 |  |
| $-3.4$ | -6.5 |  |
| +1.5 | -0.9 |  |
| -5.6 | -0.2 |  |
| $-3.4$ | -7.1 | R |
| +0.1 | -1.4 | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ |
| +0.5 | -0.1 |  |
| $+0.2$ | -0.1 |  |
| $-5.2$ | $-3.8$ |  |
| $-0.5$ | -0.3 |  |
| $-3.3$ | $(-7.2)^{*}$ |  |

(Bassham and Krause, BBA 1969)
All 'close to equilibrium' reactions shuffle

## Thermodynamic organisation of metabolism


tr.walls321.com - Pamukkale, Turkey

www.alamy.com - Loch Fyne, Scotland

CBB cycle energetics support this!

## The Logic of the CBB

1)Near equilibrium reactions mix sugar phosphates, providing a range of substrates
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## The Logic of the CBB

1)Near equilibrium reactions mix sugar phosphates, providing a range of substrates
2)De-phosphorylation as thermodynamic driving force ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$ )
3) Activation, carbon fixation, reduction (overall $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$ )
4) Output


The pentose phosphate pathways uses the same equilibrium module


## The Equilibrium Module

How to calculate the rapid equilibrium?


## The Equilibrium Module

How to calculate the rapid equilibrium?
Thermodynamics
(see Supplementary to Kartal et al, 2011, MSB 7:542)

- Step 1: find conserved quantities

Formally:


3 conserved moieties: 2 from P, 1 from C

- $P$ in odd-C sugars
- $P$ in even- $C$ sugars

Linearly independent solutions to $c \cdot N_{e_{7}}=0$
$C_{1}=(1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,2,1)$
$P_{1}=G A P+D H E A P+X 5 P+R 5 P+R \operatorname{Ln} 5 P+S 7 P+2 F B P+S B P$
$c_{2}=(0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1)$
$P_{2}=E 4 P+F 6 P+S B P$
$c_{3}=(0,0,1,2,2,2,3,4,0,1)$
$Q=E 4 P+2(X 5 P+R S P+R U S P)+3 F 6 P+4 S 7 P+S B P$

The Equilibrium Module
How to calculate the rapid equilibrium?
Thermodynamics
(see Supplementary to Kartal et al, 2011, MSB 7:542)

- Step 2: minimise Gibbs free energy How to find the function

$$
f:\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right) \rightarrow \underbrace{(G A P, D H A P, E 4 P, X S P, R S P, R u 5 P, F 6 P, S 7 P, F B P, S B P)}_{M} \text { ? }
$$

THERMODYNAMIC APPROACH:

$$
G=\sum_{j \in M} x_{j} \mu_{j}+R T \cdot \sum_{j \in M} x_{j} \cdot\left(\ln x_{j}-1\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& x_{j}: \text { concentrations } \\
& \mu_{j}: \text { chemical potentials }
\end{aligned}
$$

Gibbs energies of $\quad T$.mixing entropy
formation
Minimise $G$ under constraints $C \cdot N=O$
$\rightarrow$ Lagrangian Multipliers!

## Solving the equilibrium module

3 equations with 3 unknowns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { GAP Lagrange multiplier E4P } \\
& P_{1}=x_{0}\left(f_{0}+\kappa_{2} f_{2} Z+\kappa_{4} f_{4} z^{2}\right)+2 g x_{0}^{2}+g_{1} x_{0} x_{1} \\
& P_{2}=x_{1}\left(1+\kappa_{3} z\right)+g_{1} x_{0} x_{1} \\
& Q=x_{0}\left(2 f_{2} \kappa_{2} z+4 f_{4} \kappa_{4} z^{2}\right)+x_{1}\left(1+3 \kappa_{3} z\right)+g_{1} x_{0} x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notation:

$x_{k}$ : compound with $k+3$ carbons


$$
\Longrightarrow x_{k+2}=x_{k} \cdot e^{-\Delta u} \cdot z
$$

## A 3-variable model of the CBB cycle

Stoichiometry Matrix:

$$
N=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
3 & 3 & -2 & 0 & -3
\end{array}\right]
$$

Differential Equations:

$$
\dot{x}=N \cdot v(y(x))
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, Q\right\} \\
& Y=\{G A P, D H A P, E 4 P \ldots . S B P\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Chloroplast

## Closing the cycle

First attempt: mass-action

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=k_{1}[\mathrm{FBP}] \\
& v_{2}=k_{2}[\mathrm{SBP}] \\
& v_{3}=k_{3}[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}] \\
& v_{4}=k_{4}[\mathrm{GAP}] \\
& v_{5}=k_{5}[\mathrm{~F} 6 \mathrm{P}]
\end{aligned}
$$



## Closing the cycle

First attempt: mass-action

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=k_{1}[\mathrm{FBP}] \\
& v_{2}=k_{2}[\mathrm{SBP}] \\
& v_{3}=k_{3}[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}] \\
& v_{4}=k_{4}[\mathrm{GAP}] \\
& v_{5}=k_{5}[\mathrm{~F} 6 \mathrm{P}]
\end{aligned}
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## UNSTABLE!



## Closing the cycle

Second attempt: Michaelis-Menten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=V_{\max 1}[\mathrm{FBP}] /\left(K_{M 1}+[\mathrm{FBP}]\right) \\
& v_{2}=V_{\max 2}[\mathrm{SBP}] /\left(K_{M 2}+[\mathrm{SBP}]\right) \\
& v_{3}=V_{\max 3}[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}] /\left(K_{M 3}+[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}]\right) \\
& v_{4}=V_{\max 4}[\mathrm{GAP}] /\left(K_{M 4}+[\mathrm{GAP}]\right) \\
& v_{5}=V_{\max 5}[\mathrm{~F} 6 \mathrm{P}] /\left(K_{M 5}+[\mathrm{F} 6 \mathrm{P}]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Closing the cycle

Second attempt: Michaelis-Menten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=V_{\max }[\mathrm{FBP}] /\left(K_{M 1}+[\mathrm{FBP}]\right) \\
& v_{2}=V_{\max }[\mathrm{SBP}] /\left(K_{M 2}+[\mathrm{SBP}]\right) \\
& v_{3}=V_{\max }[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}] /\left(K_{M 3}+[\mathrm{Ru} 5 \mathrm{P}]\right) \\
& v_{4}=V_{\max }[\mathrm{GAP}] /\left(K_{M 4}+[\mathrm{GAP}]\right) \\
& v_{5}=V_{\max 5}[\mathrm{~F} 6 \mathrm{P}] /\left(K_{M 5}+[\mathrm{F} 6 \mathrm{P}]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finding 'good' $V_{\max } / K_{M}-$ values...

$$
\dot{X}=N \cdot v(Y(X))
$$

Jacobian

Optimising elasticities for stability
For irreversible reactions without allosteric regulation:

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & \varepsilon_{1} \\
& \varepsilon_{3} & \\
\varepsilon_{4} & \varepsilon_{5} &
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { only } 5 \text { non-zero }
$$

$$
\left.J=N \cdot(H) \cdot \Theta_{3 \times 5}^{(5 \times 10}\right)
$$

For cuass-action kinetics $v_{j}=k_{j} \cdot X: \varepsilon_{j}=k_{j}$
Define, $\Lambda\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right):=\max _{\lambda}\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda): \operatorname{det}(\lambda \cdot \mathbb{H}-\mathcal{J})=0\} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { maximal } \\ & \text { Eigenvalue }\end{aligned}$
Find stable solution by minimising $\Lambda$
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For irreversible reactions without allosteric regulation:
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Find stable solution by minimising $\Lambda$


## "Predicted" elasticities



## The photosynthetic Gibbs effect



## The photosynthetic Gibbs effect



But (Gibbs \& Kandler, 1957, PNAS): Label appears first in position 4!
TABLE 1
Distribution of $\mathbf{C l}^{14}$ in Glucose

| Plant | Light Intensity(Foot-Candles) | Time | Glucose Source | Tracer Content of Glucose Carbon Atoms ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mu} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{MGC}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | ${ }_{3}$ | ( | 5 | 6 |
| Chlorella* | 4,000 | 10 sec . | Starch | 0.35 | 0.27 | 3.67 | 4.90 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| Chlorella $\dagger$ | 4,000 | 60 sec . | Starch | 1.16 | 1.15 | 5.16 | 7.00 | 0.42 | 0.46 |
| Chlorella $\ddagger$ | 700 | 45 min . | Starch | 22.5 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 22.5 | 23.3 |
| Tobacco ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 4,000 | 50 sec . | Starch | 2.69 | 4.30 | 11.0 | 18.6 | 1.17 | 2.99 |
| Tobacco ${ }^{8}$ | 100 | 180 sec . | Starch | 8.55 | 10.7 | 25.9 | 37.5 | 9.12 | 8.21 |
| Sunflower 8 | 70 | 15 min . | Sucrose | 0.55 | 0.60 | 1.20 | 2.29 | 0.48 | 0.54 |
| Canna | 2,000 | 24 hrs . | Sucrose | 5.36 | 5.16 | 5.19 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.12 |

## Simple explanation for 3 and 4



What about the other positions?

Bassham 1964:
"...because of the reversibility of transketolase..."

| Glucose Source | -Tracer Content of Glucose Carbon Atoms- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | ${ }_{3}{ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 5 | 6 |
| Starch | 0.35 | 0.27 | 3.67 | 4.90 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| Starch | 1.16 | 1.15 | 5.16 | 7.00 | 0.42 | 0.46 |
| Starch | 22.5 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 22.5 | 23.3 |
| Starch | 2.69 | 4.30 | 11.0 | 18.6 | 1.17 | 2.99 |
| Starch | 8.55 | 10.7 | 25.9 | 37.5 | 9.12 | 8.21 |
|  | 8.5 | 10.7 | 25 | $\cdots$ | n | 1 |

## A dynamic model of isotope label distribution

Workflow

- stable Michaelis-Menten model, as developed above
- parameters to fit some measured steady-state
- multiply each metabolite by all possible isotope patterns ( $2^{\# \subset}$ ): total 512 metabolites
- multiply each reaction by all possible isotope patterns of substrates: total 13368 rate expressions


## A dynamic model of isotope label distribution

## Workflow

- stable Michaelis-Menten model, as developed above
- parameters to fit some measured steady-state
- multiply each metabolite by all possible isotope patterns ( $2^{\# C}$ ): total 512 metabolites
- multiply each reaction by all possible isotope patterns of substrates: total 13368 rate expressions



## Slow TPI pronounces asymmetry



## TK activity influences other labels



## Conclusions

A minimal model of the Calvin-Benson-Bassham Cycle. Why bother?

- Modelling is simplification!
- "Simplicity is the ultimate sophistication" (Leonardo da Vinci)
- Simple designs allow for general conclusions and deeper understanding
- A (stable) minimal model serves as an easy-to-use module
- more complex metabolic models
- link with photosynthetic electron transport chain models
- Forms the basis for exploring dynamic isotope labelling
- The Gibbs effect can be easily explained
- It is an emergent property of the CBB cycle
- We can understand which processes influence label dynamics
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## Food for thoughts

## It appears that metabolism is organised as an interplay of 'entropic' and 'energetic' enzymes

- Why?
- Are there principles behind this organisation?
- How is this connected to resource allocation?


## Solving the equilibrium module

3 equations with 3 unknowns:
GAP Lagrange multiplier
E4P
$P_{1}=X_{0}\left(f_{0}+\kappa_{2} f_{2} Z+\kappa_{4} f_{4} z^{2}\right)+2 g x_{0}^{2}+g_{1} x_{0} X_{1}$
$P_{2}=x_{1}\left(1+\kappa_{3} z\right)+g_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$
Notation:
$x_{k}$ : compound with $k+3$ carbons
$Q=x_{0}\left(2 f_{2} \kappa_{2} z+4 f_{4} \kappa_{4} z^{2}\right)+x_{1}\left(1+3 \kappa_{3} z\right)+g_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$

Necessary condition: $P_{2}<Q<4 P_{1}+3 P_{2}$
What happens at the extremes?
$Q \rightarrow P_{2}:$
accumulation of small sugars
$Q \rightarrow 4 P_{1}+3 P_{2}:$ accumulation of large sugars

## Back to the real world

What happens if the rapid equilibrium is not exactly fulfilled?

- Model the fast reactions as mass-action
- Tune the time-scale separation with one parameter
system breaks down


When time-scales are not clearly separated, other regulatory mechanisms are necessary!
similar time-scales

## Displacement from equilibrium

The lowest $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is just $-0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ !

But Bassham measured -1.4...


## Total Gibbs free energy above equilibrium




## Losing control



## The positive control of SBPase

Accelerating SBPase increases its substrate!!
control coefficients for SBPase



## The positive control of SBPase

Accelerating SBPase increases its substrate!!


positive feedback! Stability problem...

